

LUDWIK BYSZEWSKI*

STRONG MAXIMUM PRINCIPLES
FOR INFINITE IMPLICIT SYSTEMS OF PARABOLIC
FUNCTIONAL-DIFFERENTIAL INEQUALITIES
TOGETHER WITH NONLOCAL INEQUALITIES
WITH INTEGRALSMOCNE ZASADY MAKSYMUM DLA NIESKOŃCZONYCH
UWIKŁANYCH UKŁADÓW PARABOLICZNYCH
FUNKCJONALNO-RÓŻNICZKOWYCH
NIERÓWNOŚCI Z NIELOKALNYMI NIERÓWNOŚCIAMI
Z CAŁKAMI

Abstract

In this paper we consider infinite implicit systems of parabolic functional-differential inequalities together with nonlocal initial inequalities with integrals. For that systems we give strong maximum principles in relatively arbitrary $(n + 1)$ – dimensional time space sets more general than the cylindrical domain.

Keyword: infinite parabolic systems, implicit systems, nonlocal inequalities, strong maximum principles

Streszczenie

W pracy rozważamy nieskończone uwikłane układy parabolicznych funkcjonalno-różniczkowych nierówności z nielokalnymi początkowymi nierównościami z całkami. Dla układów tych dowodzimy mocnych zasad maksimum we względnie dowolnych $(n + 1)$ – wymiarowych zbiorach czasoprzestrzennych bardziej ogólnych niż obszar walcowy.

Słowa kluczowe: nieskończone układy paraboliczne, układy uwikłane, nierówności nielokalne, mocne zasady maksimum

* Dr hab. Ludwik Byszewski, prof. PK, Instytut Matematyki, Wydział Fizyki, Matematyki i Informatyki, Politechnika Krakowska.

1. Introduction

In this paper we consider infinite implicit diagonal systems of non-linear parabolic functional-differential inequalities of the form

$$\begin{aligned} F_i(x, t, u^i(x, t), u_t^i(x, t), u_x^i(x, t), u_{xx}^i(x, t), u) \geq \\ \geq F_i(x, t, v^i(x, t), v_t^i(x, t), v_x^i(x, t), v_{xx}^i(x, t), v) \quad (i \in \mathbb{N}) \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

for $(x, t) = (x_1, \dots, x_n, t) \in D$, where $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times (t_0, t_0 + T]$ is one of three relatively arbitrary sets more general than the cylindrical domain $D_0 \times (t_0, t_0 + T] \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$.

The symbol $(w = u \text{ or } v)$ denotes the mapping:

$$w: \mathbb{N} \times \tilde{D} \ni (i, x, t) \rightarrow w^i(x, t) \in \mathbb{R},$$

where \tilde{D} is an arbitrary set such that:

$$\bar{D} \subset \tilde{D} \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times (-\infty, t_0 + T];$$

F_i ($i \in \mathbb{N}$) are functionals of $w, w_x^i = \text{grad}_x w^i(x, t)$ and $w_{xx}^i(x, t)$ denote the matrices of second order derivatives with respect to x of $w^i(x, t)$ ($i \in \mathbb{N}$).

We give a theorem on strong maximum principles for problems with inequalities (1) and with nonlocal inequalities together with integrals.

Results obtained are based on those by Besala [1], Brandys [2], [3], Chabrowski [8], Redheffer and Walter [15], Szarski [16], [17], Walter [18], Yoshida [20] and the author [6], [7].

Some infinite and finite, parabolic and hyperbolic systems were considered by Brzychczy [4], [5], Jaruszewska-Walczak [10], Kamont [11], [12], Lakshmikantham and Leea [13], Pudełko [14] and Zabawa [21].

Infinite parabolic systems have physical application. For this purpose please see the publications: [9] by Guiaş and [19] by Wrzosek.

2. Preliminaries

The notation, definitions and assumptions given in this section are applied throughout the paper.

We shall use the following notations:

$$\mathbb{R} = (-\infty, \infty), \quad \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}, \quad x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

By ℓ^∞ we denote the Banach space of real sequences $\xi = (\xi^1, \xi^2, \dots)$ such that:

$$\sup \{ |\xi^j| : j = 1, 2, \dots \} < \infty$$

and

$$\|\xi\|_{\ell^\infty} = \sup \{ |\xi^j| : j = 1, 2, \dots \}.$$

For $\xi = (\xi^1, \xi^2, \dots)$, $\eta = (\eta^1, \eta^2, \dots) \in \ell^\infty$ we write $\xi \leq \eta$ in the sense $\xi^i \leq \eta^i$ ($i \in \mathbb{N}$).

Let t_0 be a real finite number and let $T \in (0, \infty)$. By $D \subset \{(x, t) : x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t_0 < t \leq t_0 + T\}$ we denote the set of type (P) (For the definition of this set see [7]).

For any $t \in [t_0, t_0 + T]$ we define the following sets:

$$S_t = \begin{cases} \text{int}\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : (x, t_0) \in \bar{D}\} & \text{for } t = t_0, \\ \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : (x, t) \in D\} & \text{for } t \neq t_0, \end{cases}$$

$$\sigma_t = \begin{cases} \text{int}[\bar{D} \cap (\mathbb{R}^n \times \{t_0\})] & \text{for } t = t_0, \\ D \cap (\mathbb{R}^n \times \{t\}) & \text{for } t \neq t_0. \end{cases}$$

Let \tilde{D} be an arbitrary set such that:

$$\bar{D} \subset \tilde{D} \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times (-\infty, t_0 + T].$$

We introduce the following sets:

$$\partial_p D := \tilde{D} \setminus D \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma := \partial_p D \setminus \sigma_{t_0}.$$

For an arbitrary fixed point $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{t}) \in D$, we denote by $S^-(\tilde{x}, \tilde{t})$ the set of points $(x, t) \in D$, that can be joined to (\tilde{x}, \tilde{t}) by a polygonal line contained in D along which the t -coordinate is weakly increasing from (x, t) to (\tilde{x}, \tilde{t}) .

Let $Z_\infty(\tilde{D})$ denote the linear space of mappings:

$$w : \mathbb{N} \times \tilde{D} \ni (i, x, t) \rightarrow w^i(x, t) \in \mathbb{R},$$

where the functions:

$$w^i : \tilde{D} \ni (x, t) \rightarrow w^i(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}$$

are continuous in \bar{D} and

$$\sup\{|w^i(x, t)| : (x, t) \in \tilde{D}, i \in \mathbb{N}\} < \infty.$$

For $w, \tilde{w} \in Z_\infty(\tilde{D})$ we write $w \leq \tilde{w}$ in the sense $w^i \leq \tilde{w}^i$ ($i \in \mathbb{N}$).

In the set of mappings w belonging to $Z_\infty(\tilde{D})$ we define the functional $[\cdot]_{t, \infty}$ by the formula:

$$[w]_{t, \infty} = \sup\{0, w^i(x, \tilde{t}) : (x, \tilde{t}) \in \tilde{D}, \tilde{t} \leq t, i \in \mathbb{N}\},$$

where $t \leq t_0 + T$.

By $Z_\infty^{2,1}(\tilde{D})$ we denote the linear subspace of $Z_\infty(\tilde{D})$. A mapping w belongs to $Z(\tilde{D})$ if w^i , $w_x^i = (w_{x_1}^i, \dots, w_{x_n}^i)$, $w_{xx}^i = [w_{x_j x_k}^i]_{n \times n}$ ($i \in \mathbb{N}$) are continuous in D .

By $M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$ we denote the space of real square symmetric matrices $r = [r_{jk}]_{n \times n}$.

For $r \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$ we write $r \geq 0$ if $\sum_{j,k=1}^n r_{jk} \lambda_j \lambda_k \geq 0$ for all $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Let the mappings:

$$F_i : D \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R}) \times Z_\infty(\tilde{D}) \ni (x, t, z, p, q, r, w) \\ \rightarrow F_i(x, t, z, p, q, r, w) \in \mathbb{R} \quad (i \in \mathbb{N})$$

be given and let for an arbitrary function $w \in Z_\infty^{2,1}(\tilde{D})$

$$F_i[x, t, w] := F_i(x, t, w^i(x, t), w_t^i(x, t), w_x^i(x, t), w_{xx}^i(x, t), w), \\ (x, t) \in D \quad (i \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Two functions $u, v \in Z_\infty^{2,1}(\tilde{D})$ are called solutions of system:

$$F_i[x, t, u] \geq F_i[x, t, v] \quad (i \in \mathbb{N}) \quad (2)$$

in D , if they satisfy (2) for $(x, t) \in D$.

Assumption (L). There are constants $L_i > 0$ ($i = 1, 2$) such that:

$$F_i(x, t, z, p, q, r, w) - F_i(x, t, z, \tilde{p}, q, r, w) \leq L_i(\tilde{p} - p) \quad (i \in \mathbb{N})$$

for all $(x, t) \in D$, $z \in \mathbb{R}$, $p > \tilde{p}$, $q \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $r \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$, $w \in Z_\infty(\tilde{D})$ and

$$F_i(x, t, z, p, q, r, w) - F_i(x, t, \tilde{z}, \tilde{p}, \tilde{q}, \tilde{r}, \tilde{w}) \\ \leq L_2(|z - \tilde{z}| + |p - \tilde{p}| + |x| \sum_{j=1}^n |q_j - \tilde{q}_j| + |x|^2 \sum_{j,k=1}^n |r_{jk} - \tilde{r}_{jk}| + [w - \tilde{w}]_{t,\infty}) \quad (i \in \mathbb{N})$$

for all $(x, t) \in D$, $z, \tilde{z} \in \mathbb{R}$, $p, \tilde{p} \in \mathbb{R}$, $q, \tilde{q} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $r, \tilde{r} \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$, $w, \tilde{w} \in Z_\infty(\tilde{D})$.

For every set $A \subset \tilde{D}$ and for each function $w \in Z_\infty(\tilde{D})$ we apply the notation

$$\max_{(x,t) \in A} w(x, t) := \left(\max_{(x,t) \in A} w^1(x, t), \max_{(x,t) \in A} w^2(x, t), \dots \right).$$

Let $I = \mathbb{N}$ or I is a finite set of mutually different natural numbers.

Let us define the set:

$$S = \bigcup_{i \in I} (\sigma_{T_{2i-1}} \cup \sigma_{T_{2i}}),$$

where, in the case if $I = \mathbb{N}$, the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $t_0 < T_{2i-1} < T_{2i} \leq t_0 + T$ for $i \in I$ and $T_{2i-1} \neq T_{2j-1}, T_{2i} \neq T_{2j}$ for $i, j \in I, i \neq j$;
- (ii) $T_0 := \inf \{T_{2i-1} : i \in I\} > t_0$;

(iii) $S_t \supset S_{t_0}$ for every $t \in \bigcup_{i \in I} [T_{2i-1}, T_{2i}]$;

(iv) $S_t \supset S_{t_0}$ for every $t \in [T_0, t_0 + T]$,

and in the case if I is a finite set of mutually different natural numbers, the conditions (i), (iii) are satisfied.

An unbounded set D of type (P) is called a set of type (P_{ST}) , if:

(a) $S \neq \emptyset$

(b) $\Gamma \cap \bar{\sigma}_{t_0} \neq \emptyset$.

Let S_* denote a non-empty subset of S . We denote the following set:

$$I_* = \left\{ i \in I : (\sigma_{T_{2i-1}} \cup \sigma_{T_{2i}}) \subset S_* \right\}.$$

A bounded set D of type (P) satisfying condition (a) of the definition of a set of type (P_{ST}) is called a set of type (P_{SB}) .

3. Strong maximum principles

Theorem 3.1. Assume that:

1° D is a set of type (P_{ST}) or (P_{SB}) .

2° The functions F_i ($i \in \mathbb{N}$) satisfy Assumption (L).

3° $u \in Z_{\infty}^{2,1}(\tilde{D})$ and the maximum of function u on Γ is attained. Moreover,

$$K^i := \max_{(x,t) \in \Gamma} u^i(x,t) \quad (i \in \mathbb{N}) \quad (3)$$

and $K \in \ell^{\infty}$ is defined by the formulae

$$K : \mathbb{N} \times \tilde{D} \ni (i, x, t) \rightarrow K^i.$$

4° The following inequalities hold:

$$(u^j(x, t_0) - K^j) + \sum_{i \in I_*} h_i(x) \left(\frac{1}{T_{2i} - T_{2i-1}} \int_{T_{2i-1}}^{T_{2i}} u^j(x, \tau) d\tau - K^j \right) \leq 0 \quad (4)$$

for $x \in S_{t_0}$ ($j \in \mathbb{N}$),

where $h_i : S_{t_0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\infty}$ ($i \in I_*$) are given functions such that:

$$-1 \leq \sum_{i \in I_*} h_i(x) \leq 0 \quad \text{for } x \in S_{t_0}$$

and additionally if $I_* = \aleph_0$ then the series

$$\sum_{i \in I_*} h_i(x) \frac{1}{T_{2i} - T_{2i-1}} \int_{T_{2i-1}}^{T_{2i}} u^j(x, \tau) d\tau \quad (j \in \mathbb{N})$$

are convergent for $x \in S_{t_0}$.

5° There exists a point $(x^*, t^*) \in \tilde{D}$ such that:

$$u(x^*, t^*) = \max_{(x,t) \in \tilde{D}} u(x, t).$$

Moreover,

$$M^i := u^i(x^*, t^*) \quad (i \in \mathbb{N}) \quad (5)$$

and $M \in \ell^\infty$ is defined by:

$$M : \mathbb{N} \times \tilde{D} \ni (i, x, t) \rightarrow M^i.$$

6° u and $v = M$ are solutions of system (2) in D .

7° F_i ($i \in \mathbb{N}$) are parabolic with respect to u in D and uniformly parabolic with respect to M in any compact subset of D (see [7]).

Then:

$$\max_{(x,t) \in \tilde{D}} u(x, t) = \max_{(x,t) \in \Gamma} u(x, t). \quad (6)$$

Moreover, if there is a point $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{t}) \in D$ such that:

$$u(\tilde{x}, \tilde{t}) = \max_{(x,t) \in \tilde{D}} u(x, t)$$

then

$$u(x, t) = \max_{(x,t) \in \Gamma} u(x, t) \quad \text{for } (x, t) \in S^-(\tilde{x}, \tilde{t}).$$

Proof. We shall prove Theorem 3.1 for a set of type $(P_{\mathcal{A}})$ only, since the proof of this theorem for a set of type $(P_{\mathcal{ZB}})$ is analogous.

We shall argue by contradiction. Suppose that the contrary of (6) holds, i.e.

$$M \neq K$$

Next, (3) and (5) imply inequalities

$$K^i \leq M^i \quad (i \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Consequently,

There is $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$K^\ell < M^\ell. \quad (7)$$

Observe, from assumption 5°, that:

There is a point $(x^*, t^*) \in \tilde{D}$ such that

$$u(x^*, t^*) = M := \max_{(x,t) \in \tilde{D}} u(x, t). \quad (8)$$

By (8), by assumption 3° and by (7), we have:

$$(x^*, t^*) \in \tilde{D} \setminus \Gamma = D \cup \sigma_{t_0}. \quad (9)$$

Assume that:

$$(x^*, t^*) \in D. \quad (10)$$

From assumption 6° and from (8), we get:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} u &\in Z_{\infty}^{2,1}(\tilde{D}), \\ F_i[x, t, u] &\geq F_i[x, t, M] \quad \text{for } (x, t) \in D \quad (i \in \mathbb{N}), \\ u(x, t) &\leq M \quad \text{for } (x, t) \in \tilde{D}, \\ u(x^*, t^*) &= M. \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (11)$$

The assumption that D is a set of type (P) , Assumption (L) , relations (10) and (11), and assumption 7° imply, by Theorem 4.1 from [7], the equation:

$$u(x, t) = M \quad \text{for } (x, t) \in S^-(x^*, t^*). \quad (12)$$

On the other hand, from the definition of a set of type (P_{ST}) there is a polygonal line $\gamma \subset S^-(x^*, t^*)$ such that:

$$\bar{\gamma} \cap \Gamma \neq \emptyset. \quad (13)$$

Since $u^i \in C(\bar{D})$ ($i \in \mathbb{N}$), we have a contradiction of formulae (12) and (13) with formulae (3) and (7). Therefore, $(x^*, t^*) \notin D$ and, consequently, from (9),

$$(x^*, t^*) \in \sigma_{t_0}. \quad (14)$$

Consider now two possible cases:

$$(I) \quad \sum_{i \in I_*} h_i(x) = 0, \quad (II) \quad -1 \leq \sum_{i \in I_*} h_i(x) < 0.$$

In case (I) condition (14) leads, by (7), to a contradiction of (4) with (8). From this contradiction the proof of (6) is complete in case (I).

In case (II), by the definition of sets I and I_* , we must consider the following cases:

(A) I_* is a finite set, i.e., without loss of generality, there is a number $p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$I_* = \{1, \dots, p\}.$$

(B) $\text{card } I_* = \aleph_0$.

First we shall consider case (A). By (4) and by the inequalities:

$$u(x^*, t) < u(x^*, t_0) \quad \text{for } t \in \bigcup_{i=1}^P [T_{2i-1}, T_{2i}],$$

being a consequence of (8) and (14) and of conditions (a) (i), (a) (iii) of the definition of a set of type (P_{ST}) , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\geq (u^j(x^*, t_0) - K^j) + \sum_{i=1}^P h_i(x^*) \left(\frac{1}{T_{2i} - T_{2i-1}} \int_{T_{2i-1}}^{T_{2i}} u^j(x^*, \tau) d\tau - K^j \right) \geq \\ &\geq (u^j(x^*, t_0) - K^j) + \sum_{i=1}^P h_i(x^*) \left(\frac{1}{T_{2i} - T_{2i-1}} \int_{T_{2i-1}}^{T_{2i}} u^j(x^*, t_0) d\tau - K^j \right) = \\ &= (u^j(x^*, t_0) - K^j) \left(1 + \sum_{i=1}^P h_i(x^*) \right) \quad (j \in \mathbb{N}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$u(u^*, t_0) \leq K \quad \text{if } 1 + \sum_{i=1}^P h_i(x^*) > 0. \quad (15)$$

Then, from (7) and (14), we obtain a contradiction of (15) with (8). Assume now:

$$\sum_{i=1}^P h_i(x^*) = -1. \quad (16)$$

By the mean-value integral theorem, we have that for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i \in \{1, \dots, p\}$ there is $\tilde{T}_i^j \in [T_{2i-1}, T_{2i}]$ such that:

$$u^j(x^*, \tilde{T}_i^j) = \frac{1}{T_{2i} - T_{2i-1}} \int_{T_{2i-1}}^{T_{2i}} u^j(x^*, \tau) d\tau. \quad (17)$$

Simultaneously, for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a number $\ell_j \in \{1, \dots, p\}$ such that:

$$u^j(x^*, \tilde{T}_{\ell_j}^j) = \max_{i=1, \dots, p} u^j(x^*, \tilde{T}_i^j) \quad (18)$$

Consequently, by (16), (18), (17) and by (4), we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} u^j(x^*, t_0) - u^j(x^*, \tilde{T}_{\ell_j}^j) &= (u^j(x^*, t_0) - K^j) - (u^j(x^*, \tilde{T}_{\ell_j}^j) - K^j) = \\ &= (u^j(x^*, t_0) - K^j) + \sum_{i=1}^P h_i(x^*) (u^j(x^*, \tilde{T}_{\ell_j}^j) - K^j) \leq \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \left(u^j(x^*, t_0) - K^j \right) + \sum_{i=1}^P h_i(x^*) \left(u^j(x^*, \tilde{T}_i^j) - K^j \right) = \\
&= \left(u^j(x^*, t_0) - K^j \right) + \sum_{i=1}^P h_i(x^*) \left(\frac{1}{T_{2i} - T_{2i-1}} \int_{T_{2i-1}}^{T_{2i}} u^j(x^*, \tau) d\tau - K^j \right) \leq 0 \quad (j \in \mathbb{N}).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$u^j(x^*, t_0) \leq u^j(x^*, \tilde{T}_{\ell_j}^j) \quad (j \in \mathbb{N}) \quad \text{if} \quad \sum_{i=1}^P h_i(x^*) = -1. \quad (19)$$

Since, by (a) (i) of the definition of a set of type (P_{ST}) , $\tilde{T}_{\ell_j}^j > t_0$ ($j \in \mathbb{N}$), we get from (14) that (19) is at a contradiction with (8). This completes the proof of (6) if I_* is a finite set.

It remains to investigate case (B). Analogously to the proof of (6) in case (A), by assumption 4° and by the inequality:

$$u(x^*, t) < u(x^*, t_0) \quad \text{for} \quad t \in \bigcup_{i \in I_*} [T_{2i-1}, T_{2i}],$$

being a consequence of (8), (14), and of (a) (i), (a) (iii) of the definition of a set of type (P_{ST}) we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\geq (u^j(x^*, t_0) - K^j) + \sum_{i \in I_*} h_i(x^*) \left(\frac{1}{T_{2i} - T_{2i-1}} \int_{T_{2i-1}}^{T_{2i}} u^j(x^*, \tau) d\tau - K^j \right) \geq \\
&\geq (u^j(x^*, t_0) - K^j) + \sum_{i \in I_*} h_i(x^*) \left(\frac{1}{T_{2i} - T_{2i-1}} \int_{T_{2i-1}}^{T_{2i}} u^j(x^*, t_0) d\tau - K^j \right) = \\
&= (u^j(x^*, t_0) - K^j) (1 + \sum_{i \in I_*} h_i(x^*)) \quad (j \in \mathbb{N}).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$u(x^*, t_0) \leq K \quad \text{if} \quad 1 + \sum_{i \in I_*} h_i(x^*) > 0. \quad (20)$$

Then from (7) and (14), we obtain a contradiction of (20) with (8). Assume now:

$$\sum_{i \in I_*} h_i(x^*) = -1. \quad (21)$$

By the mean-value integral theorem we have that for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i \in I_*$ there is $\tilde{T}_i^j \in [T_{2i-1}, T_{2i}]$ such that:

$$u^j(x^*, \tilde{T}_i^j) = \frac{1}{T_{2i} - T_{2i-1}} \int_{T_{2i-1}}^{T_{2i}} u^j(x^*, \tau) d\tau. \quad (22)$$

Let

$$\tilde{T}_*^j := \inf_{i \in I_*} \tilde{T}_i^j \quad (j \in \mathbb{N}). \quad (23)$$

Since $u^i \in C(\bar{D})$ ($i \in \mathbb{N}$) and since, by (14) and by (a) (iv), (a) (ii) of the definition of a set of type (P_{ST}) , $x^* \in S_t$ for every $t \in [T_0, t_0 + T]$ if $I = \mathbb{N}$, it follows from (23) that for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$ there is $\hat{t}_j \in [\tilde{T}_*^j, t_0 + T]$ such that:

$$u^j(x^*, \hat{t}_j) = \max_{t \in [\tilde{T}_*^j, t_0 + T]} u^j(x^*, t). \quad (24)$$

Consequently, by (21), (24), (22) and by assumption 4°, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} u^j(x^*, t_0) - u^j(x^*, \hat{t}_j) &= (u^j(x^*, t_0) - K^j) - (u^j(x^*, \hat{t}_j) - K^j) = \\ &= (u^j(x^*, t_0) - K^j) + \sum_{i \in I_*} h_i(x^*) (u^j(x^*, \hat{t}_j) - K^j) \leq \\ &\leq (u^j(x^*, t_0) - K^j) + \sum_{i \in I_*} h_i(x^*) (u^j(x^*, \tilde{T}_i^j) - K^j) = \\ &= (u^j(x^*, t_0) - K^j) + \sum_{i \in I_*} h_i(x^*) \left(\frac{1}{T_{2i} - T_{2i-1}} \int_{T_{2i-1}}^{T_{2i}} u^j(x^*, \tau) d\tau - K^j \right) \leq 0 \quad (j \in \mathbb{N}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$u^j(x^*, t_0) \leq u^j(x^*, \hat{t}_j) \quad \text{if} \quad \sum_{i \in I_*} h_i(x^*) = -1. \quad (25)$$

Since, by (a) (ii) of the definition of a set of type (P_{ST}) , $t_j > t_0$ ($j \in \mathbb{N}$), we get from (14) that (25) is at a contradiction with (8). This completes the proof of equality (6).

The second part of the thesis of Theorem 3.1 is a consequence of (6) and of Theorem 4.1 from [7]. Therefore, the proof of Theorem 3.1 is complete.

References

- [1] B e s a l a P., *An extension of the strong maximum principle for parabolic equation*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. sci. math., astr. et phys. 19, 1971, 1003-1006.
- [2] B r a n d y s J., *Strong maximum principles for infinite systems of parabolic differential-functional inequalities with initial constant estimates*, Commentationes Mathematicae 47.2, 2007, 141-148.
- [3] B r a n d y s J., *Strong maximum principles for infinite systems of parabolic differential-functional inequalities with nonstandard initial inequalities with integrals*, Demonstratio Mathematica 41.3, 2008, 571-581.
- [4] B r z y c h c z y S., *On the existence of solutions of nonlinear infinite systems of parabolic differential-functional equations*, Univ. Iagiel. Acta Math. 40, 2002, 31-38.
- [5] B r z y c h c z y S., *Existence and uniqueness of solutions of nonlinear infinite systems of parabolic differential-functional equations*, Ann. Polon. Math. 77.1, 2001, 1-9.

- [6] Byszewski L., *Strong maximum principles for implicit parabolic functional-differential problems together with nonstandard inequalities with integrals*, Thai Journal of Mathematics 4.1, 2006, 73-84.
- [7] Byszewski L., *Infinite implicit system of weak parabolic functional-differential inequalities and strong maximum principle for this infinite system*, Czasopismo Techniczne, seria: Nauki Podstawowe 1, 2009, 33-44.
- [8] Chabrowski J., *On non-local problems for parabolic equations*, Nagoya Math. J. 93, 1984, 109-131.
- [9] Guiaş F., *Coagulation – fragmentation processes: relation between finite parabolic models and differential equations*, preprint 98-41 (SFB 359), Universität Heidelberg, 1998.
- [10] Jaruszevska-Walczak D., *Comparison theorems for infinite systems of parabolic functional-differential equations*, Ann. Polon. Math. 77.3, 2001, 261-269.
- [11] Kamont Z., *Hyperbolic Functional Differential Inequalities and Applications*, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht 1999.
- [12] Kamont Z., *Infinite systems of hyperbolic functional differential inequalities*, Nonlinear Anal. 51, 2002, 1429-1445.
- [13] Lakshmikantham V., Leela S., *Differential and Integral Inequalities*, Vol. I, II, Academic Press, New York 1968.
- [14] Pudełko A., *Existence of solutions for infinite systems of parabolic equations with functional dependence*, Ann. Polon. Math. 86.2, 2005, 123-135.
- [15] Redheffer R., Walter W., *Das Maximumprinzip in unbeschränkten Gebieten für parabolische Ungleichungen mit Funktionalen*, Math. Ann. 226, 1977, 155-170.
- [16] Szarski J., *Strong maximum principle for non-linear parabolic differential-functional inequalities*, Ann. Polon. Math. 29, 1974, 207-214.
- [17] Szarski J., *Infinite systems of parabolic differential-functional inequalities*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. sci. math. 28.9-10, 1980, 477-481.
- [18] Walter W., *Differential and Integral Inequalities*, Springer Verlag, Berlin–Heidelberg–New York 1970.
- [19] Wrzosek D., *Singular properties of solutions of Smoluchowski system of equations (in Polish)*, Roczniki Polskiego Towarzystwa Matematycznego, Seria II: Wiadomości Matematyczne 35, 1999, 11-35.
- [20] Yoshida N., *Maximum principles for implicit parabolic equations*, Proc. Japan Acad. 49, 1973, 785-788.
- [21] Zabawa T., *Stability of solutions of infinite systems of nonlinear differential-functional equations of parabolic type*, Opuscula Mathematica 26.1, 2006, 173-183.